

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,039	01/12/2001	Joseph Rinchiuso	CE08395R	1866
22917 7590 09/11/2008 MOTOROLA, INC. 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD			EXAMINER	
			HAILE, FEBEN	
IL01/3RD SCHAUMBU	RG, IL 60196		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing.Schaumburg@motorola.com APT099@motorola.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/760,039	RINCHIUSO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
FEBEN HAILE	2616	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on _ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a)
 \overline{\text{They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)
 \overline{\text{They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);} (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: _ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41,33(d)(1), 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: __ /Auna S. Moe/

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616

Continuation of 3, NOTE: proposed amendments will not be entered because:

Regarding claim 1, removing the limitation "based on the data rate" does not place this application in a better form for an appeal and/or allowance. Regarding claim 4, the added material of "to transmit data at a data rate" raises a new issue, thus placing a burden on the examiner to perform an additional search of the art. Furthermore, this claim removes the limitation "prior to operating the data transmitter in a Control Hold state", which does not place the application in a better form for an appeal and/or allowance. Regarding claim 7, the added material of "at a data rate" raises a new issue for this particular claim, thus placing a burden on the examiner to perform an additional search of the art. Regarding claims 13-14, removing the limitation "between a transmitting device and a receiving device" does not place this application in a better form for an appeal and/or allowance.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding claims 1-9, Koo teaches the transition between an active state and control hold state using a supplemental channel with variable transmission rates ranging from 93.6 Kbps to 153.6 Kbps or 14.4 Kbps to 115.2 Kbps. Hjelm suggests delaying the transition of an active channel to an idle channel according to a timer, such that timer values can be set dynamically either per packet control unit or per cell. Therefore as the claims are interpreted in their broadest sense, the Examiner believes that the combination of Koo and Hjelm indeed does render the Applicants'is invention obvious. Regarding claims 13-14, Lohita can be applied as prior at because the Examiner is relying on provisional applications priority date of December 21, 2000. Therefore as the claims are interpreted in their broadest sense, the Examiner believes that Lohita indeed does render the Applicant's invention obvious.